

Date of Decision: 8.3.1996

FOR APPROVAL AND SIGNATURE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N N MATHUR

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of judgment?
4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 or any order made thereunder ?
5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge ?

.....J.....T..L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....

Mr Rajesh Dave, Advocate for the petitioner

CORAM ; N N MATHUR,J.  
(March 8, 1996)

ORAL JUDGMENT

The petitioner's application for fixing the standard rent for the shop admeasuring about 10 x 17 sq.ft. was rejected by the order of the learned Chief Judge of the Small Causes Court, Rajkot dated 9.4.1990. This order was confirmed in revision by the learned Asstt.District Judge, Rajkot by order dated 13.4.1994. Both the Courts have arrived at the conclusion that the monthly rent of Rs.250/- is just and proper.

2. Having heard the learned Advocate for the petitioner, I find no good reason to interfere with the finding of fact arrived at by the Courts below. In view of it, this Special Civil Application is rejected. However,

the petitioner is allowed to pay/deposit the amount due within a period of 8 weeks. With this observation, notice discharged.

...